UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.
10/583,604	06/20/2006	Takuya Tsukagoshi	128482	2626
25944 OLIFF & BERI	7590 12/16/200 RIDGE, PLC	EXAMINER		
P.O. BOX 3208	350	LAVARIAS, ARNEL C		
ALEXANDRIA, VA 22320-4850			ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER
			2872	
			MAIL DATE	DELIVERY MODE
			12/16/2008	PAPER

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

The time period for reply, if any, is set in the attached communication.

	Application No.	Applicant(s)			
	10/583,604	TSUKAGOSHI ET AL.			
Office Action Summary	Examiner	Art Unit			
	Arnel C. Lavarias	2872			
The MAILING DATE of this communication app Period for Reply	ears on the cover sheet with the c	orrespondence address			
A SHORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR REPLY WHICHEVER IS LONGER, FROM THE MAILING DA - Extensions of time may be available under the provisions of 37 CFR 1.13 after SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication If NO period for reply is specified above, the maximum statutory period w	ATE OF THIS COMMUNICATION 36(a). In no event, however, may a reply be timustilly apply and will expire SIX (6) MONTHS from	I. lely filed the mailing date of this communication.			
 Failure to reply within the set or extended period for reply will, by statute, Any reply received by the Office later than three months after the mailing earned patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b). 					
Status					
Responsive to communication(s) filed on 10/10 2a) This action is FINAL . 2b) This 3) Since this application is in condition for allowar closed in accordance with the practice under E	action is non-final. nce except for formal matters, pro				
Disposition of Claims					
4) ☐ Claim(s) 1-14 is/are pending in the application. 4a) Of the above claim(s) 2-4 and 6-14 is/are w 5) ☐ Claim(s) is/are allowed. 6) ☐ Claim(s) 1 and 5 is/are rejected. 7) ☐ Claim(s) is/are objected to. 8) ☐ Claim(s) are subject to restriction and/or	rithdrawn from consideration.				
Application Papers					
9)☑ The specification is objected to by the Examine 10)☑ The drawing(s) filed on 20 June 2006 is/are: a) Applicant may not request that any objection to the correction to the correction of th	D accepted or b)⊠ objected to drawing(s) be held in abeyance. See ion is required if the drawing(s) is obj	e 37 CFR 1.85(a). ected to. See 37 CFR 1.121(d).			
Priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119					
 12) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119(a)-(d) or (f). a) All b) Some * c) None of: 1. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received. 2. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received in Application No 3. Copies of the certified copies of the priority documents have been received in this National Stage application from the International Bureau (PCT Rule 17.2(a)). * See the attached detailed Office action for a list of the certified copies not received. 					
Attachment(s) 1) Notice of References Cited (PTO-892) 2) Notice of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948) 3) Information Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO/SB/08) Paper No(s)/Mail Date 6/20/06.	4) Interview Summary Paper No(s)/Mail Da 5) Notice of Informal P 6) Other:	ite			

Art Unit: 2872

DETAILED ACTION

Election/Restrictions

1. Applicant's election with traverse of Group I (Claims 1, 5) in the reply filed on 10/10/08 is acknowledged. The traversal is on the ground(s) that, for the instant application, a lack of unity may only be determined *a posteriori*, i.e. after a search of the prior art has been conducted and it is established that all the elements of the independent claims are known. This is not found persuasive because, contrary to Applicant's assertion that lack of unity in the instant application may only be determined *a posteriori*, lack of unity exists in the instant application *a priori* since the Examiner has shown that the various independent claims all have mutually exclusive subject matter, i.e. there exists subject matter that is not common to all the claims. The mutually exclusive subject matter in each of the groups of inventions was provided in the listing of inventions (See specifically Section 4 of the Office Action dated 9/10/08).

The requirement is still deemed proper and is therefore made FINAL.

Claims 2-4, 6-14 are withdrawn from further consideration pursuant to 37 CFR
 1.142(b), as being drawn to a nonelected invention, there being no allowable generic or linking claim. Applicant timely traversed the restriction (election) requirement in the reply filed on 10/10/08.

Art Unit: 2872

Priority

3. Receipt is acknowledged of papers submitted under 35 U.S.C. 119(a)-(d), which papers have been placed of record in the file.

Drawings

- 4. The originally filed drawings were received on 6/20/06. These drawings are objected to for the following reason(s) as set forth below.
- 5. Figures 4 and 5 should be designated by a legend such as --Prior Art-- because only that which is old is illustrated. See MPEP § 608.02(g). Corrected drawings in compliance with 37 CFR 1.121(d) are required in reply to the Office action to avoid abandonment of the application. The replacement sheet(s) should be labeled "Replacement Sheet" in the page header (as per 37 CFR 1.84(c)) so as not to obstruct any portion of the drawing figures. If the changes are not accepted by the examiner, the applicant will be notified and informed of any required corrective action in the next Office action. The objection to the drawings will not be held in abeyance.

Specification

6. Applicant is reminded of the proper language and format for an abstract of the disclosure.

The abstract should be in narrative form and generally limited to a single paragraph on a separate sheet within the range of 50 to 150 words. It is important that the abstract not exceed 150 words in length since the space provided for the abstract on the computer tape used by the printer is limited. The form and legal phraseology often used in patent claims, such as "means" and "said," should be avoided. The abstract should describe the disclosure sufficiently to assist readers in deciding whether there is a need for consulting the full patent text for details.

Application/Control Number: 10/583,604

Art Unit: 2872

Page 4

The language should be clear and concise and should not repeat information given in the title. It should avoid using phrases which can be implied, such as, "The disclosure concerns," "The disclosure defined by this invention," "The disclosure describes," etc.

7. The abstract of the disclosure is objected to because of the following informalities:

Abstract, line 6- delete 'are provided'.

Correction is required. See MPEP § 608.01(b).

- 8. The lengthy specification has not been checked to the extent necessary to determine the presence of all possible minor errors. Applicant's cooperation is requested in correcting any errors of which applicant may become aware in the specification.

 Examples of such errors are set forth below.
- 9. The disclosure is objected to because of the following informalities:

Page 9, line 5- 'solves' should read 'solve'.

Appropriate correction is required.

Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 112

10. The following is a quotation of the second paragraph of 35 U.S.C. 112:

The specification shall conclude with one or more claims particularly pointing out and distinctly claiming the subject matter which the applicant regards as his invention.

11. Claim 1 is rejected under 35 U.S.C. 112, second paragraph, as being indefinite for failing to particularly point out and distinctly claim the subject matter which applicant regards as the invention.

Claim 1 provides for the use of interference fringes of an object beam and a reference beam, into which a laser beam is split, for multiplex-recording information as a hologram on a holographic recording medium, but, since the claim does not set forth any steps

Art Unit: 2872

involved in the method/process, it is unclear what method/process applicant is intending to encompass. A claim is indefinite where it merely recites a use without any active, positive steps delimiting how this use is actually practiced.

Claim Rejections – 35 USC § 102

12. The following is a quotation of the appropriate paragraphs of 35 U.S.C. 102 that form the basis for the rejections under this section made in this Office action:

A person shall be entitled to a patent unless -

- (b) the invention was patented or described in a printed publication in this or a foreign country or in public use or on sale in this country, more than one year prior to the date of application for patent in the United States.
- 13. Claims 1 and 5, as best understood, are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 102(b) as being anticipated by Miyaji (JP 07-160183 A), of record.

Miyaji discloses a holographic multiplex recording method (See for example Figure 1) for multiplex-recording (In the instant case, the information is wavelength multiplexed; See 10, 11, 12 in Figure 1) information as a hologram on a holographic recording medium (See for example 3 in Figure 1) using interference fringes of an object beam and a reference beam (See for example light traversing elements 22, 23 to 3 in Figure 1), into which a laser beam is split, wherein in a process of multiplex-recording the information, a time of exposure to the laser beam per data page is kept constant, and a laser output power of the laser beam is increased in accordance with a decrease in recording sensitivity of the holographic recording medium (See for example Paragraphs 0042, 0049). Miyaji additionally discloses a holographic recording apparatus (See for example Figure 1) for multiplex-recording (In the instant case, the information is wavelength

Application/Control Number: 10/583,604

Art Unit: 2872

multiplexed; See 10, 11, 12 in Figure 1) information as a hologram on a holographic recording medium (See for example 3 in Figure 1) using interference fringes of an object beam and a reference beam (See for example light traversing elements 22, 23 to 3 in Figure 1), into which a laser beam is split, wherein in a process of multiplex-recording the information, a time of exposure to the laser beam per data page is kept constant, and a laser output power of the laser beam can be increased in accordance with a decrease in recording sensitivity of the holographic recording medium (See for example Paragraphs 0042, 0049).

Page 6

Conclusion

14. Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the examiner should be directed to Arnel C. Lavarias whose telephone number is 571-272-2315. The examiner can normally be reached on M-F 10:00 AM - 6:30 PM EST.

If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's supervisor, Stephone B. Allen can be reached on 571-272-2434. The fax phone number for the organization where this application or proceeding is assigned is 571-273-8300.

Art Unit: 2872

Information regarding the status of an application may be obtained from the Patent

Application Information Retrieval (PAIR) system. Status information for published

applications may be obtained from either Private PAIR or Public PAIR. Status

information for unpublished applications is available through Private PAIR only. For

more information about the PAIR system, see http://pair-direct.uspto.gov. Should you

have questions on access to the Private PAIR system, contact the Electronic Business

Center (EBC) at 866-217-9197 (toll-free). If you would like assistance from a USPTO

Customer Service Representative or access to the automated information system, call

800-786-9199 (IN USA OR CANADA) or 571-272-1000.

Arnel C. Lavarias Primary Examiner Group Art Unit 2872 12/8/08

> /Arnel C. Lavarias/ Primary Examiner, Art Unit 2872